

Valve's Design Process for Creating Half-Life 2

Presented by David Speyrer and Brian Jacobson

© 2007 Valve Corporation. All Rights Reserved.



The Fuzzy Problem of "Fun"

Obvious in hindsight -- "I know it when I see it"
 Has many solutions
 Subjective
 Defies direct analysis



An Engineering Approach

- Define your goals and constraints
 Come up with an idea of how to meet them
- > Perform an experiment to test the idea
- Evaluate the quality of the experiment
- > Evaluate the quality of the idea
- Evaluate the quality of your goals
- ➢ Repeat



Necessary Ingredients

The right attitude
 Well defined, measurable goals
 Well communicated goals

- Niche product?
- Mass market?

> Well devised tests



Defining Goals

- "Product focus" helps you define good goals
- Care more about the quality of the product than your particular contribution to it
- Filter all goals through the lens of customer experience
- Good customer experience equals success



Engineering Game Design

Goal is a fun game
Ideas are your game designs
Playtests are your experiments
Evaluate your designs as a result of playtests
Repeat



What does "playtest" mean?

QA?
Balancing?
Focus testing?
Fun?



Running a Good Playtest

Are playtesters having the experience you designed?
 Is the experience you designed desirable?
 Learn about things that affect customer experience

- Game code/NPC behavior
- Effects art
- Environmental art
- Sound
- Training
- Pacing
- Difficulty





Running a Good Playtest

Make sure the people responsible for the design and execution are there

- Simplifies evaluation
- Prioritizes
- Motivates

Simulate the player "in their living room"

- Don't give them hints
- Don't answer any questions
- Don't provide extrinsic rewards
- Use external playtesters



VALVE

Questioning Playtesters

Don't rely too much on questions
 Often you learn more from what playtesters don't experience
 Ask non-leading questions
 Can be great for measuring effectiveness of certain elements

- Storytelling
- Perception





Design Iteration

Often this occurs late in production

- Some of your designs work, others don't
- Fix the most egregious problems

> Late playtesting is less valuable

• It's too late to make substantive changes



Playtesting as Production

> Use playtest results to drive production!

- Create 15 minutes of gameplay in rough form
- Playtest
- Use playtest to prioritize work for next week
- Repeat until complete

We felt done as soon as playtesting was no longer painful to watch



© 2007 Valve Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

60

100

SUIT

HEALTH

© 2007 Valve Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

STATISTICS.

July 1



Small Increments

Do the smallest amount that lets you learn something about the player experience

➤ Use 1-2 week increments

- Shorter results in not enough time to make changes
- Longer results in churn and flail



"I'm Just Worried That..."

Don't let theoretical problems prevent playtesting

- They might not actually be problems
- If they **are** problems, the playtest will prioritize which to solve first
- Playtest may generate ideas of how to solve actual problems better
- Don't worry about how it looks
 - Art production is less risky than gameplay production



Other Benefits

Useful for learning
 Easy to measure an element's incremental value or damage
 A great way to avoid design arguments
 Can use playtest results to drive other aspects of production





Playtesting as Production

Solutions to playtest problems can be iterative
 Solve your problems in the right order
 Look for trends

- Don't overcorrect
- Don't oscillate
- Finish successful elements before moving on





Product-level Benefits

Allows you to schedule to a particular quality metric
 Scopes game design risk for key features
 Allows you to optimize toward your most successful elements

Allows you to measure risk, speed, cost



© 2007 Valve Corporation. All Rights Reserved.

Playtesting as Production in Larger Projects

VALVE

Create multiple small independent design teams

• Each chapter was done by a particular design team

Create a sandbox for each team to work in

Create processes to help with global decisions

- Story
- Global mechanics (weapons, NPCs)
- Art
- Consistency
- Quality

Process #1: Establish Initial Constraints

A preproduction phase established initial product decisions

- Story elements and settings
- Art concepts/style guides
- Major design principles
- NPCs, mechanics, weapons, vehicles
- Chapter progression and themes
- Prototype gameplay maps were created



VALVE

Process #1: Establish Initial Constraints

Some decisions were used by design teams as constraints

• Story, settings, design principles

Others were treated as suggestions

- Mechanics, weapons, enemy NPCs were picked up by design teams
- Some elements never were adopted
- Some major elements in the shipping game were developed after this phase



VALVE

Process #2: Promote Design Economy

VALVE

- Encouraged reuse of existing game elements in new ways
- Useful in helping with global consistency and quality
 - More of your game is about the same elements
 - More hands working on each element improves quality
- Used teamwide playtests to expose elements to other design teams
 - Successful elements naturally diffused through the game



Process #3: Establish Strike Teams

VALVE

Formed to address cross-team issues

Some strike teams existed for the entire project

- The "Weapons Cabal"
- Most were more transient
 - Occurred when a design team used another's gameplay elements
- Decisions in well-tested maps were treated as constraints

Process #4: The "Overwatch Cabal"

VALVE

Evaluated global product-level quality at Alpha
 Communicated high/lows to all design teams

- List constructed from company-wide feedback
- Consisted of a member from each design team and art/sound/animation teams
- Design teams were responsible for addressing feedback
 - Cuts/changes were driven by individual teams
 - All changes were made during the Alpha period



> What kind of changes should you make during Alpha?

- Don't introduce major new elements
- Be ruthless and cut your worst problems
- Do add density if necessary using existing elements
- Some aspects of your game can't be measured until it's all there
 - Pacing
 - Difficulty curve
 - Variety
 - Chapter-to-chapter inconsistencies



Conclusions

Engineering process can be applied to game design
 Let your production teams drive your design
 Use playtesting to drive game production
 Large teams can use this technique if the appropriate processes are in place
 Allow for a final iteration over your entire game once it's playable from beginning to end